

# The Market For Uranium

NMA/NRC

May 25, 2011


Tom Pool - International Nuclear, Inc.

Bob Maxwell – Behre Dolbear

# The Market For Uranium

- Demand
- Supply
- Prices
- Fukushima Effect


# World Uranium Requirements - Historical




# Uranium Demand

- Existing reactors: 440 in the world, 104 in US
  - Retirements: Minimal
  - Life Extensions
    - US 66 20-year Extensions Granted
    - US 16 Applications Filed
    - US 20 Applications Expected
  - Upgrades
    - US 7,500 MWe 1977 - 2015
  - Outages
    - Refueling – Decreasing Duration
    - Unplanned – Declining pre-Fukushima
    - Capacity Factor
      - US topping out at 90% +
- New Reactors: 61 under construction
  - Initial Cores
  - Periodic Refuelings

# Uranium Requirements Projections – World – Near Term Reference Case



# Long Term Uranium Requirements Projections




# Long-Term Uranium Requirements Cumulative 2010 to 2060

- Low Case: 10.3 billion pounds  $U_3O_8$
- Reference Case: 15.0 billion pounds  $U_3O_8$
- High Case: 18.5 billion pounds  $U_3O_8$

# Long-Term Uranium Requirements Post Fukushima SWAG

## Reference Case Analysis





# Long-Term Uranium Requirements

## Post Fukushima Outlook

- Pre-Fukushima Requirements Projection
  - 15.0 billion pounds  $U_3O_8$
- Post-Fukushima SWAG
  - 14.3 billion pounds  $U_3O_8$
- Thus, the Fukushima incident is projected to have cost the uranium industry some 700 million pounds during period 2011 – 2060.
  - At current prices of about \$55 per pound , the revenue loss to the uranium industry is likely to be on the order of \$38.5 billion!


# Uranium Supply

- Resources
- Primary Supply
  - Mines
  - By-Product
- Secondary Supply
  - Inventory
  - Spent Fuel – Reprocessing
  - Government/Military – HEU – Plutonium – MOX
  - Depleted Uranium


# Uranium Resources - IAEA

- 373 Deposits
- ~40 Billion Pounds  $U_3O_8$  (222 years at the current rate of consumption)
  - Includes some deposits currently politically inaccessible
  - Includes substantial phosphate by-product: 7 Billion Pounds  $U_3O_8$
  - Includes some black shale: 500 Million Pounds  $U_3O_8$
  - Does not include seawater: ~100 Billion Pounds  $U_3O_8$


# World Uranium Production - Historical




# Price-Production Relationship: Uranium




# World Uranium Production By Method - Historical



# By-Product Uranium Output - World




# Scheduled Uranium Production Forecast





# Uranium Production Capability Forecast




# A Sampling of Status of Major Permits - USA

Project	Acquired	Submitted	Future
Peninsula/Strata - Lance	WDEQ - DDW	WDEQ & NRC	
Powertech – Dewey Burdock		NRC	
Titan – Sheep Mountain	WDEQ	WDEQ & NRC	NRC Mid-2011
Uranerz – Nichols Ranch	WDEQ	NRC	
Uranium One – Ludeman Moore Ranch	- NRC	WDEQ & NRC -	
Ur Energy – Lost Creek		NRC & WDEQ	
URI - Churchrock	NRC & NMUIC		
Virginia Uranium	Pending cancellation of moratorium		

# Secondary Supply


- Inventory (Natural): Net Increase
  - Government: Almost Gone
  - Producers: Need to accumulate as production increases
  - Consumers: Need to accumulate as consumption increases
  - Hedge Funds/Speculators: Accumulating as in almost all commodities and adding volatility
- Spent Fuel Reprocessing: Increasing
- Government/Military – HEU – Plutonium – MOX: Declining
- Depleted Uranium: Increasing
- Price Sensitivity: Relatively Low (Politically driven)

# Secondary Uranium Supply Components - Projected



■ Spent Fuel ■ Govt/Military ■ Depleted U


# Net Uranium Secondary Supply - Projected




# Supply – Demand Balance

- Demand
  - Post Fukushima Dip/Pause
  - Long-term growth at 2% per year
- Supply
  - Oversupply in the near-term
  - Project cancellations & deferments
  - Increased competition for sales

# Uranium Supply-Demand Balance



# Uranium Price - Historical






# Prices

- The 2007 price bubble was driven by speculation and manipulation.
  - Uranium spot price is very easy to manipulate because the market is so thin
  - Hedge fund buying has taken over 10 M lbs out of the market
- The 2007 price bubble dislocated production costs
  - Producers had very high expectations for future prices
    - Lowered cut-off grades
    - Increased wages
    - Catch-up on maintenance
    - New equipment
    - Overall: A higher standard of living

# Fukushima Effect – Uranium Stock Prices

<u>Company</u>	<u>8-Mar</u>	<u>15-Mar</u>	<u>Loss</u>
Cameco	\$38.16	\$29.30	23%
Paladin	\$5.00	\$3.26	35%
Uranium One	\$6.02	\$3.55	41%
Tournigan	\$0.30	\$0.17	43%
Ur-Energy	\$2.57	\$1.49	42%
Uranium Energy	\$5.63	\$3.36	40%

# Uranium Spot Prices - Fukushima Effect



# Prices

- Prices and costs are related
  - Ranger 2005: \$16.66 per pound  $U_3O_8$
  - Ranger 2010: \$66.74 per pound  $U_3O_8$
  - Rossing 2005: \$16.07 per pound  $U_3O_8$
  - Rossing 2010: \$62.63 per pound  $U_3O_8$
- Higher prices mean higher costs
  - Decrease in cut-off grade
  - Higher standard of living

# Prices - Future

- Volatility due to speculation and manipulation
- Oversupply in the near- to mid-term
- Producer difficulty in lowering costs and, hence, sales prices
- Increasing regulation and NGO intervention increases costs and, hence, prices
- Overall: Conflicting pressures, but weakness likely in the near-term and gains in the mid-term as the Fukushima effect fades

# Conclusions

- Demand – Stagnating
- Supply – Abundant resources, increasing competition
- Prices – Weakening in near term
- Companies – Difficulties raising financing
- Fukushima Effect – Revitalization of NGOs throughout the world and a 2 to 3 year setback for the nuclear industry